Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP

One Battery Park Plaza New York, New York 10004-1482 Telephone: 212-837-6000 Fax: 212-422-4726



May 12, 2006

VIA FEDERAL EXPRESS

Michael J. Beck, Esq.
Catherine Maida
Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation
Thurgood Marshall Federal Judiciary Building
One Columbus Circle, N.E. Room G-255, North Lobby
Washington, D.C. 20002-8004

Re:

In re: Vioxx® Marketing, Sales Practices and Products

Liability Litigation, MDL Docket No. 1657

Dear Sir and Madam:

Pursuant to J.P.M.L. Rule 7.5(e), Merck hereby notifies the Panel of potential "tag-along actions." This letter is Merck's 129th notification of potential "tag-along actions" and includes cases that have recently been filed in or removed to federal court. Courtesy copies of the complaints and docket sheets for these cases are enclosed.

- 1. Kehoe et al., v. Merck & Co., Inc. et al., C.A. No. 3:06-cv-00425 (M.D. Ala.)
- 2. Torbert v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-cv-00410 (M.D. Ala.)
- 3. Ward et al., v. Merck & Co., Inc. et al., C.A. No. 4:06-cv-00548 (E.D. Ark.)
- 4. McKenna v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 3:06-cv-00672 (D. Conn.)
- 5. Hilz et al., v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 8:06-cv-00857 (M.D. Fla.)
- 6. Krulewitch et al., v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-60644 (S.D. Fla.)
- 7. Prestano v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-60645 (S.D. Fla.)
- 8. Loukopoulos v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-cv-02593 (N.D. Ill.)
- 9. Modzejewski v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-cv-02594 (N.D. III.)
- 10. Kupniewski et al., v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 4:06-cv-12048 (E.D. Mich.)
- 11. Beyers v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-01698 (D. Minn.)
- 12. Cifuentes v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-01718 (D. Minn.)
- 13. Damjanovich v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-01708 (D. Minn.)
- 14. Dojan v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-01706 (D. Minn.)
- 15. Frankiewicz v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-01704 (D. Minn.) 16. Mischke v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-01700 (D. Minn.)

Hughes Hubbard & Reed LLP

- 17. Misonznick v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-01705 (D. Minn.)
- 18. Moore v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-01699 (D. Minn.)
- 19. Stoltenberg v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 0:06-cv-01707 (D. Minn.)
- 20. Demendonca et al., v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-cv-03446 (S.D. N.Y.)
- 21. McKay v. Merck & Co., Inc. et al., C.A. No. 6:06-cv-06232 (W.D. N.Y.)
- 22. Lee v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-cv-01134 (N.D. Ohio)
- 23. Briggs v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 4:06-cv-01430 (D. S.C.)
- 24. Merideth et al., v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 1:06-cv-00352 (W.D. Tex.)

There is one new case that involves claims relating to prescription drugs other than Vioxx.

1. Banks v. Merck & Co., Inc. et al., C.A. No. 5:06-cv-00875 (N.D. Ala.)

There are four new cases filed in the transferee court.

- 1. Ginnetti v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-cv-02356 (E.D. La.)
- 2. Hayes v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-cv-02363 (E.D. La.)
- 3. Robertson v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-cv-02361 (E.D. La.)
- 4. Serles et al., v. Merck & Co., Inc., C.A. No. 2:06-cv-02357 (E.D. La.)

Respectfully submitted,

ly (Williams

CCW/eas

Enclosures